

Approved For Release 2005/12/23 : CIA-RDP84B00890R000300070002-8  
**ROUTING AND TRANSMITTAL SLIP** <sup>Date</sup> 11/2/81

TO: (Name, office symbol, room number, building, Agency/Post)		Initials	Date
1.	DDA	<i>[Signature]</i>	11/2
2.			
3.			
4.			
5.	Beaver		

Action	File	Note and Return
Approval	For Clearance	Per Conversation
As Requested	For Correction	Prepare Reply
Circulate	For Your Information	See Me
Comment	Investigate	Signature
Coordination	Justify	

**REMARKS**

The attached response to the DDCI is the final action pending re the IG report on OTS. The comments from OMS are attached for your information, but will not be forwarded to the DDCI unless you so desire.

*[Signature]*

**DO NOT use this form as a RECORD of approvals, concurrences, disposals, clearances, and similar actions**

FROM: (Name, org. symbol, Agency/Post) EO/DDA	Room No.—Bldg.
	Phone No.

Approved For Release 2005/12/23 : CIA-RDP84B00890R000300070002-8

OPTIONAL FORM 41 (Rev. 7-76)  
 Prescribed by GSA  
 FPMR (41 CFR) 101-11.206

## ROUTING AND RECORD SHEET

SUBJECT: (Optional)

Comments on Consultants Report on Agency's Psychological Activities

FROM:

Director of Medical Services  
Room 1D4061 Headquarters

EXTENSION

NO.

DATE

30 October 1981

TO: (Officer designation, room number, and building)

DATE

RECEIVED

FORWARDED

OFFICER'S  
INITIALS

COMMENTS (Number each comment to show from whom to whom. Draw a line across column after each comment.)

1. Deputy Director  
for Administration

Orig to 1: Also note:

2.

(1) On Page 6 where it states  
"it was headed by [redacted]

3.

[redacted] -- the Directory of  
the American Psychological  
Association, the 1981 Edition  
lists [redacted] as hold-  
ing a Masters Degree in  
General Psychology obtained  
from the University of  
Oklahoma in 1939. This  
listing was submitted in 1980.

4.

5.

6.

(2) On Page 10 where it states  
"and the Center for Counter-  
terrorism and Crisis Response  
(1)", (1) represents a  
Behavioral Scientist not a  
psychologist and it is Mrs.  
[redacted]

8.

9.

10.

11.

12.

13.

14.

15.

STAT

STAT  
STAT

STAT

STAT

81-1667/4

30 October 1981

MEMORANDUM FOR: Deputy Director for Administration

FROM: Robert A. Ingram, M.D.  
Director of Medical Services

SUBJECT: Comments on Consultants Report on Agency's  
Psychological Activities

REFERENCE: DD/A Memo to D/MS dtd 23 Oct 81, Subject Same  
(DD/A 81-1667/1)

1. In general, the Chapman/Bayton report was viewed as a candid survey, but some parts lacked specificity which could lead to unfortunate mininterpretations. As an example, in Conclusion and Recommendation (C&R) #3, page 3, they state that systematic program of evaluation is uneven without specifying which unit is currently complying with what they see as ideal and which is not. They further note on page 3 that the incentive for program evaluation is stronger among those (unspecified) units most heavily involved in testing and assessment.

2. In C&R #4, they recommend units to undertake internal research. Then they negatively connote on page 31 that "the Research Branch of Psychological Services Division (PSD) is obligated to meet the research requirements of PSD first. Then it can respond to requests for assistance from other Agency organizations." Again, from page 33 to 38, they describe the need for evaluation and internal research without noting that PSD is already engaged in such activities, and in the last three years has requested additional resources to intensify this effort.

3. Chapman/Bayton further suggest (page 3) that relevant evaluations (BAB) were hindered by security considerations, and ways should be found to make needed data available without revealing sensitive sources. The Office of Medical Services supports their view -- PSD has done this in its Top Secret



STAT

SUBJECT: Comments on Consultants Report on Agency's  
Psychological Activities

4. On a positive note, OMS believes there is merit in professional supervision and developing a career service for Agency psychologists, but with the realization this would require consolidation which is counter to Chapman/Bayton Survey. Finally, frequent and meaningful symposia to improve communications between psychologists would impact adversely on productivity of the psychologists who, according to the survey (page 30), are fully utilized. This certainly is true of Office of Medical Services psychologists.



Robert A. Ingram, M.D.

STAT